

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,772	02/13/2001	Hideharu Ogawa	45023-1001	7906
7:	590 08/12/2003			
Mitchell P Brook LUCIE, FOREWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS 11988 EL CAMINO REAL SUITE 200 San Diego, CA 92130			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.080, 0.1	72.00		3713	1.1
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/
_	
(Λ
V	/'

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/762,772	OGAWA, HIDEHARU			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kim Nguyen	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 27 November 2002. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on					
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) \(\square\) No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.					
7. The reason(s) below:					
		Kim Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3713			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 11			

Application No. 09/762,772

The amendment filed on March 4, 2003 in response to the final rejection does not

place this application in condition for allowance because the newly added limitation "of

the players associated with said verification and the high-ranking players" in claims 1, 2,

5 and 15 should be corrected to "of a player associated with said verification and high-

ranking players"; and the newly added limitation "so that <u>a</u> player can" in claim 4 should

be corrected to "so that the player can" to provide proper antecedent basis. Further, the

newly added feature "the score management server transmits data representing the score

status of high-ranking players" in the independent claims 1, 2, 5 and 15 does need a

further search.

2/27/04

Examiner: Kim Nguyen

Art Unit: 3713